UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,881	12/30/2004	Tetsuya Sakata	10921.0268USWO	7393
52835 7590 04/09/2009 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			DOUGHERTY, SEAN PATRICK	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,881	SAKATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SEAN P. DOUGHERTY	3736	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on $\underline{16\ January\ 2009}$ is consider requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendmitem(s) is required.					
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMEN 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mark B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	ings.				
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFRB. Other	1.72.				
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1 B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing	he top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or .121(d). g correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings s, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.				
C. Each claim has not been provided with the posterior of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered	oresent. At of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) oroper status identifier, and as such, the individual status ne status of every claim must be indicated after its claim identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), l), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). not been presented in ascending numerical order.				
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 3	37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.				
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:					
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.					
correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the f (including a submission for a request for continued examinamendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFI Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked,	plicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the rection, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment cluding a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental endment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>ayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the n-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.					
filed in response to a Quayle action; or	nt amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment mendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental				
/Sean P. Dougherty/ Examiner, Art Unit 3736	/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736				

Continuation of 5 Other: Applicant has traversed the Requirement for Restriction/Election mailed 12/19/2008.

- (a) The Notice of Non-Compliance is proper because Applicant elected in the Response to Election/Restriction Group II (claims 16-20). Examiner indicated in the Requirement for Restriction/Election that Group I (claims 15 and 21-26) had already been examined on the merits; therefore, Group II had been withdrawn from consideration as being direction to a non-elected invention. Applicant's election of the non-elected group is therefore considered improper.
- (b) Furthermore, Applicant argues that the method claims of Group II have already been examined on the merits. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and contends that new method claims 16-20 contain method steps not recited in the previous (now canceled) method claims. The previous method claims did not require an analyzer/sensor in a second housing, where the attaching of the first and second housing is temporary, where the first housing is removed from the second housing, et al.

Accordingly, claims 16-20 REMAIN withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. .